

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2003 Program Changes	FY 2003 Estimate
06,08 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	7,207	7,611	89	636	8,336
00 Ford's Theatre NHS	740	981	14	-6	989
00 Franklin D Roosevelt Memorial	1,360	1,372	18	-8	1,382
00 Frederick Douglass NHS	424	429	7	-3	433
00 Lincoln Memorial	2,077	2,090	23	-10	2,103
00 Mary McLeod Bethune Council House NHS	534	603	2	-1	604
00 National Capital Parks-Central	17,979	17,580	172	-73	17,679
00 National Capital Parks-East	8,707	8,662	95	-41	8,716
00 Potomac Heritage NST	200	198	0	0	198
00 President's Park	2,570	2,679	28	-12	2,695
00 Rock Creek Park	6,209	6,264	66	-28	6,302
00 Sewall-Belmont House, DC	0	100	0	0	100
00 Theodore Roosevelt Island	98	98	0	0	98
00 Thomas Jefferson Memorial	2,009	2,022	22	-10	2,034
00 Washington Monument	2,362	2,384	31	-13	2,402
00 White House	4,334	4,863	48	-20	4,891

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park; District of Columbia, Maryland and West Virginia

\$674,000 to Protect Park Resources and Visitors

Funding is requested to expand the park's visitor and resource protection program. Urbanization of surrounding areas has created an urgent need for additional law enforcement capability to combat increasing threats to the park's 1,275 historic structures, 220 archeological sites, and unique natural and cultural resources. Incidents detected annually include encroachments, disturbances to archeological sites, vandalism, poaching, and dumping. Funding would be used to increase patrol frequency of park areas, including river patrols. Increased patrols would improve visitor safety and resource protection.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Anacostia Park	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
National Capital Parks - Central	Preserve Lincoln Memorial	\$5,192
National Capital Parks - Central	Improve security at Washington Monument	\$12,980
National Capital Parks - Central	Improve security at Lincoln Memorial	\$6,183
National Capital Parks - Central	Improve security at Jefferson Memorial	\$4,671
White House	Structural and utility rehab	\$9,582

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
George Washington Mem Pkwy	Rehab Memorial Drive, circle, and ramps	\$2,000
National Capital Parks - Central	Rehab Inlet Bridge	\$300
National Capital Parks - Central	Rehab Lincoln Circle and approaches	\$2,200
National Capital Parks - Central	Repair Outlet Bridges	\$600
Rock Creek Park	Repair road at Thompson's Boat Center	\$500
Rock Creek Park	Repair Rock Creek & Potomac Parkway	\$3,500

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$441

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$329

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	880
Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Preserve the Lincoln Memorial *		
Project No: 16438	Unit/Facility Name: National Capital Parks-Central	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 00	State: District of Columbia

Project Justification

Project Description: This project is intended to mitigate a very high safety deficiency and resource protection threat in the National Park System. Funds requested for FY2003 will be used to improve the exterior and interior lighting, including lighting that will not damage the newly stabilized chamber murals, safety lighting for the approach way and entrance stairs, and special lighting techniques to be used to reduce the insect population which is staining the memorial stones; install hydraulic oil containment system below the elevator; install permanent non-visible access to replace the temporary wooden access to undercroft; and reduce stress on the attic walls by installing pins in the penthouse attic beams. The project will also repair and conserve the stones to halt the slow disintegration; repair the cramps and miscellaneous repointing of stones; rehabilitate the entrance steps and chamber floor to eliminate tripping hazards; rehabilitate the Lincoln Statue; and provide long term protection for the murals.

Project Need/Benefit: The Lincoln Memorial (1922) is one of the Nation's most important and visited memorials and one of the most famous cultural resources in the National Park System. The memorial is coming to the end of a lengthy and highly successful restoration program. The work on this memorial has been planned to allow the completion of baseline data collection and to allow for the most economical phasing of the required work. Without the necessary funding, some of the most visible and unsafe areas of the memorial will not be corrected. These include the approach way and entrance stairs. These areas are poorly lit so as not to interfere with the evening appearance of the memorial. Numerous injuries to visitors have resulted because of inadequate lighting of the main stairs. If corrections to mitigate safety hazards are not completed, the stone will continue to deteriorate, carvings will disappear and netting will be required to protect visitors from the falling facade stone. Stairs that are tripping hazards will remain. Walkways will not be properly lit at night.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

60% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
40% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Required: YES: NO: x	Total Project Score: 880
---------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:			Project Funding History:	
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 5192000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0	Requested in FY 2003 Budget:	\$ 5,192,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 5192000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:	C		Project Total:	\$ 5,192,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/02		Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: x NO:	
Dates:	Sch'd			
(qtr/yy)				
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2003		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02	
Project Complete:	4 / 2003			

* This project was included in the NPS FY 2002 request.

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	750
Planned Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Improve Washington Monument Security And Grounds		
Project No: 42400	Unit/Facility Name: National Capital Parks-Central	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 00	State: District of Columbia

Project Justification

Project Description: This project will provide additional security to the interior and exterior of the Washington Monument. In FY2003, we will install retaining walls on the Washington Monument grounds to protect the exterior of the monument. These walls will act as vehicle barrier devices. The grounds will need to be regraded for the installation of these walls. This regrading will require us to: replace existing plaza at base of monument; remove and relocate light vaults; install new lighting hardware; relocate flagpoles; replace the majority of existing walks; correct drainage; remove 16th Street oval parking lot; rehabilitate soil and install new irrigation system, turf and trees. This plan covers the area between Constitution Avenue and the Tidal Basin, from 17th Street to 14th Street. With the removal of the 16th Street oval parking lot we will be able to complete the German-American Friendship Garden. NPS is developing plans for the second phase of this project, which will provide a sheltered queuing area and underground facilities. Once this phase is more fully developed, funding will be requested in FY 2004.

Project Need/Benefit: The Washington Monument is one of the most notable landmarks in our Nation's Capital and the world and is used to capacity every year. As such it is an attractive terrorist target. An October 1999 report commissioned by the National Park Service and completed by Booz-Allen, Hamilton details the lack of protection from a terrorist attack and prescribes what steps should be taken to correct these problems. Two of the report's major thrusts were the vulnerability of the monument to a vehicle bomb attack and to a bomb or weapon smuggled into the building. For several decades, National Capital Parks - Central has endeavored to create a positive experience for the million plus annual visitors who wish to tour the Washington Monument. The spacious, informal landscape, while providing a striking backdrop to the Monument, has presented difficulties when undertaking to provide a comfortable and secure area to distribute tickets to visitors. In addition no walkways leading to the monument are accessible per ADA requirements. The current lighting hardware illuminates the building unevenly. The ground itself has been compacted to such a degree that turf maintenance is problematic, even with high levels of aeration and watering. Parking is being allowed on the grounds themselves, making it impossible for the park to complete the German-American Friendship Garden, a gift from the Federal Republic of Germany.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
50 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: x NO:

Total Project Score: 750

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:			Project Funding History:		
Deferred Maintenance Work	\$	0	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	TBD	Requested in FY 2003 Budget:	\$	12,980,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$	TBD	Required to Complete Project:	\$	TBD
			Project Total:	\$	TBD
Class of Estimate: C			Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 01/15/02		
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/02					
Dates: Sch'd					
(qtr/yy)			Unchanged Since		
Construction Start/Award 1 / 2003			Departmental		
Project Complete: 4 / 2003			Approval:		
			YES: x NO:		

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	750
Planned Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Improve Security Around Lincoln Memorial		
Project No: 79914	Unit/Facility Name: National Capital Parks-Central	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 00	State: District of Columbia

Project Justification

Project Description: This project would install vehicle restriction devices along the perimeter of the Lincoln Memorial. The type of devices will be determined by an on-site analysis with participation with the Commission of Fine Arts and the National Capital Planning Commission.	
Project Need/Benefit: The Lincoln Memorial is one of the most notable landmarks in our Nation's Capital and the world and is used to capacity every year. As such this memorial has become a prime terrorist target. A October 1999 report commissioned by the National Park Service and completed by Booz-Allen, Hamilton, details the lack of protection from a terrorist attack and prescribes what steps should be taken to correct these problems. One of the report's major thrusts was the vulnerability of the memorial to a vehicle bomb attack. Without some type of vehicle restriction devices the memorial will remain vulnerable to vehicle bomb attack.	
Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need. 0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance 50 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance % Other Capital Improvement 50% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
Capital Asset Planning 300B Required: YES: NO: x	Total Project Score: 750

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:		Project Funding History:	
	\$'s %		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 6183000 100	Requested in FY 2003 Budget:	\$ 6,183,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 6183000 100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:	C	Project Total:	\$ 6,183,000
Estimate Good Until:	9/30/02	Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/2002	
Dates:	<u>Sch'd</u>		
(qtr/yy)			
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2003	Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: x NO:	
Project Complete:	4 / 2003		

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	750
Planned Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Improve Security Around Jefferson Memorial		
Project No: 79915	Unit/Facility Name: National Capital Parks-Central	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 00	State: District of Columbia

Project Justification

Project Description: This project would install vehicle restriction devices along the perimeter of the Jefferson Memorial. The type of devices will be determined by an on-site analysis with participation with the Commission of Fine Arts and the National Capital Planning Commission.	
Project Need/Benefit: The Jefferson Memorial is one of the most notable landmarks in our Nation's Capital and the world and is used to capacity every year. As such this memorial has become a prime terrorist target. A October 1999 report commissioned by the National Park Service and completed by Booz-Allen, Hamilton, details the lack of protection from a terrorist attack and prescribes what steps should be taken to correct these problems. One of the report's major thrusts was the vulnerability of the memorial to a vehicle bomb attack. Without some type of vehicle restriction devices the memorial will remain vulnerable to vehicle bomb attack.	
Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred 50 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 50% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance 0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance 0% Other Capital Improvement	
Capital Asset Planning 300B Required: YES: NO: x	Total Project Score: 750

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$		Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 4671000	100	Requested in FY 2003 Budget:	\$ 4,671,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 4671000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:	C		Project Total:	\$ 4,671,000
Estimate Good Until:	9/30/02			
Dates:	Sch'd			
(qtr/yy)				
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2003		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Project Complete:	4 / 2003		Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/2002	Departmental
				Approval:
				YES: x NO:

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	890
Planned Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Structural and Utility Rehabilitation for the Executive Residence and President's Park, Phase II		
Project No: 77518	Unit/Facility Name: White House	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 00	State: District of Columbia

Project Justification

Project Description: Funds in the amount of \$9.582 million are requested to continue the multi-year effort to address the repair and maintenance backlog at the White House and President's Park. In FY 2002, the \$6.5 million appropriated is being used for projects such as the replacement of unsafe sidewalk pavers in East Executive Park, milling and re-paving West Executive Avenue and the South Grounds roadway, waterproofing and roof repair of the Visitor Entrance Building and the Maintenance Building, conservation of deteriorated sandstone columns at the West Colonnade, repair of sewage problems at the Ellipse Visitor Pavilion, and repair/replace streetlights, park benches, and water fountains. The funds requested in FY 2003 will be used to rehabilitate the unsafe grounds electrical systems, replacement of the grounds irrigation system, rehabilitation of the Underground Shops' fire suppression system, replacement of sidewalks, rehabilitation of historic fountains in President's Park and installation of an irrigation system for the Ellipse. Future backlog projects already identified as being needed include: the rehabilitation of the White House grounds utility systems which include the sewer lines, fire hydrants, communications conduits and security infrastructure, the replacement of deteriorated sidewalks, the restoration of the historic perimeter fence, and the construction of permanent handicapped accessible restrooms for the many events that take place on the White House grounds. NPS will develop a Capital Asset Plan to estimate additional future construction costs.

Project Need/Benefit: As the home and office of the President of the United States, the site is host to more than 1.5 million visitors each year and thousands more who use the surrounding President's Park and its facilities. Electrical systems for the White House grounds that have been in place more than 40 years, and have had many additions and modifications over the years are in need of substantial rehabilitation. Some equipment rated for indoor use is installed in underground vaults that have leaks and when flooded create serious hazardous conditions for maintenance employees. The vaults are not in compliance with National Electrical Codes and electrical voltage is not adequate to support required electrical service. Since 1985 approximately 165,000 SF of damaged sidewalk paving have been replaced during construction of other projects. This project will complete the final phase of all major sidewalk replacement needed within President's Park. Irrigation systems for the White House grounds installed during the Kennedy and Nixon administrations will be replaced with modern energy and water efficient systems.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

75 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	5 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	5 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
15 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: x NO:**Total Project Score: 890****Project Costs and Status**

Project Cost Estimate:	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Deferred Maintenance Work	\$ TBD	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 6,500,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0	Requested in FY 2003 Budget:	\$ 9,582,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ TBD	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ TBD
Class of Estimate:	C		Project Total:	\$ TBD
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/02			
Dates:	Sch'd			
(qtr/yy)				
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2003			
Project Complete:	4 / 2003			
			Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: NO: x
			Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02	

MARYLAND (NCR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2003 Program Changes	FY 2003 Estimate
04 Accokeek Foundation	599	599	0	0	599
04 Alice Ferguson Foundation	200	200	0	0	200
06 Antietam NB	2,156	2,457	27	-11	2,473
05 Baltimore-Washington Parkway	1,270	1,276	13	-6	1,283
06 Catoctin Mountain Park	2,121	2,331	28	-12	2,347
06,08 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	7,207	7,611	89	636	8,336
08 Clara Barton NHS	200	496	1	-1	496
04 Fort Washington Park	699	877	12	-5	884
08 George Washington Mem Parkway	9,488	9,832	101	-43	9,890
05 Greenbelt Park	864	874	12	-5	881
06 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,626	5,749	79	-33	5,795
06 Monocacy NB	597	717	7	210	934
04,05 Piscataway Park	488	484	0	0	484
00 Potomac Heritage NST	200	198	0	0	198

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

MARYLAND

Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park; District of Columbia, Maryland and West Virginia

\$674,000 to Protect Park Resources and Visitors

Funding is requested to expand the park's visitor and resource protection program. Urbanization of surrounding areas has created an urgent need for additional law enforcement capability to combat increasing threats to the park's 1,275 historic structures, 220 archeological sites, and unique natural and cultural resources. Incidents detected annually include encroachments, disturbances to archeological sites, vandalism, poaching, and dumping. Funding would be used to increase patrol frequency of park areas, including river patrols. Increased patrols would improve visitor safety and resource protection.

Hampton National Historic Site, Maryland

\$300,000 to Increase Resource Protection and Visitor Services Programs

Funding is requested to protect and maintain 45 historic structures; historic gardens, 62 acres of designed cultural landscape, and museum objects valued at over \$15 million. A number of classified structures are unsafe due to rotting floors, inadequate support, and infestations caused by animals and mold. Funding would provide the ability to inventory and monitor the resources, and to repair and maintain roads and trails. In addition, funding would allow the park to increase its support of partnership activities, special park uses and events. These improvements would allow the park to reach a more diverse audience, and increase visitor satisfaction.

Monocacy National Battlefield, Maryland

\$121,000 to Provide Cultural Resource Management for New Area

Funding is requested to establish a cultural resource management program. Since its opening in 1991, the park has doubled in size. The creation of a cultural resource management program would ensure the continued preservation of the battlefield. Preservation would be accomplished by completing and updating cultural resource inventories, monitoring resource conditions, stabilizing and repairing historic structures, and improving the management of a growing museum collection. The cultural management program would preserve and protect thirty historic structures and numerous archeological sites.

\$92,000 to Enhance Natural Resources Management

Funding is requested to enhance natural resource management. Funding would enable the park to manage an extensive number of exotic and invasive species, monitor known endangered plants, and complete its inventory of plants and animals. The battlefield would establish effective management strategies for invasive plants, white-tailed deer, the reduction of resource degradation, and monitoring of water quality. An enhanced program would also enable the park to increase watershed protection activities and riparian buffer zone management in support of Chesapeake Bay preservation initiatives. All identified natural resource data sets would be acquired and exotic vegetation controlled more effectively.

MARYLAND (NCR)

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Chesapeake Bay Sites	Ongoing Study
Harriet Tubman Sites	Ongoing Study
Monocacy NB	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Piscataway Park	21 acres	\$500

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Fort Washington Park	Repair/rehab structures & features	\$3,384

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	Resurface Great Falls entrance road	\$1,500

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$751

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,908

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: **Piscataway Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY03): Priority No. 15

Location: Across Potomac River from Mount Vernon.

State/County/Congressional District: State of Maryland/Charles and Prince George's Counties/Congressional District No. 5

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: None. The over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.097 million

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2003 Request	21	\$500
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential

Description: Piscataway Park was established by Congress on October 4, 1961, and through subsequent amendments to the Act of that date. The purpose of the park is to preserve lands in the State of Maryland comprising the principal viewshed from the Mount Vernon Estate and Fort Washington across the Potomac River. Such preservation will ensure the natural beauty of such land as it existed at the time of the construction and active use of Mount Vernon Mansion and Fort Washington.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park preserves the tranquil view from Mount Vernon of the Maryland shore of the Potomac.

Threat: An analysis completed in 1991 revealed that 98 percent of the viewshed outside the boundaries of Piscataway Park could be protected by local low density zoning which currently controls building heights. The analysis also revealed that several parcels of land, if developed in any way under existing zoning regulations, would intrude on this otherwise completely protected viewshed.

Need: The tract to be acquired is located directly across the Potomac River from Mount Vernon. Though the United States presently owns a scenic easement interest in the property, the easement does not preclude further subdivision. Acquisition of the remaining interest is necessary to prevent further development that would detract from the historic viewshed. *Acquisition of this land will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources and to Goal IIa Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: Several non-profit groups, including the Mount Vernon Ladies Association, the Trust for Public Lands, The National Park Trust, and The Accokeek Foundation have expressed a willingness to assist with this acquisition. The owners have indicated willingness to consider sale of the property. The local community, non-profit groups, and members of the Maryland Congressional delegation have endorsed efforts by the National Park Service to acquire and protect this property.

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	670
Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Repair/Rehab Structural Buildings and Features At Fort Washington Park		
Project No: 21937	Unit/Facility Name: Fort Washington Park	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 04	State: Maryland

Project Justification

Project Description: Fort Washington Park was established in 1930. There are no other coastal fortifications of this size and design within the metropolitan D.C. area. The present fort was designed by Pierre Charles L'enfant and was completed in 1824. The lack of adequate preventive maintenance, weathering, storm damage, aging and other deteriorating factors have left the buildings and structures of Fort Washington in desolate condition. This project proposal is for the funding of repairs of the historic wood, brick, masonry and metal structures, features and buildings within Fort Washington. This will include the Main Gate, the Enlisted Men's Barracks, the Officer's Quarters, the Casemates, and the Powder Magazine.

Project Need/Benefit: With its commanding view of the Potomac River, this fort is the best example of 19th century American Coastal Fortification remaining in the United States. It is the only masonry fort built prior to the Civil War for the protection of the Nation's Capital. More than 268,000 visitors came to the park in 2000. Engineering reports, architectural evaluations and soil analysis reveal severe undermining of the walls and foundation due to the non-existent drainage system. The continual water penetration and pressure under the walls coupled with the extremely high volume and speed of water cascading along the walls and down the embankment further exacerbate the escalating erosion and structural failure. If these corrective measures are not undertaken, a large and very visible portion of this fort will be lost and an even greater portion of the adjoining structures will be de-stabilized, and visitors and employees will be endangered.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

20% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	30% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
50% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Required: YES: NO: x	Total Project Score: 670
---------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 4084000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 700,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0		Requested in FY 2003 Budget:	\$ 3,384,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 4084000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:	c		Project Total:	\$ 4,084,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/02			
Dates:	Sch'd			
(qtr/yy)				
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2003		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Project Complete:	4 / 2003		Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02	Departmental
				Approval:
				YES: x NO:

PENNSYLVANIA (NCR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2003 Program Changes	FY 2003 Estimate
00 Appalachian NST	893	1,042	5	-2	1,045
00 Potomac Heritage NST	200	198	0	0	198

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

PENNSYLVANIA (NCR)

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$1,006

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$5,054

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

VIRGINIA (NCR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2003 Program Changes	FY 2003 Estimate
00 Appalachian NST	893	1,042	5	-2	1,045
08 Arlington House	936	939	10	-4	945
08,10 George Washington Mem Parkway	9,488	9,832	101	-43	9,890
10 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,626	5,749	79	-33	5,795
10 Manassas NBP	2,246	2,309	26	-11	2,324
00 Potomac Heritage NST	200	198	0	0	198
01,10,11 Prince William Forest Park	2,409	2,606	34	-15	2,625
10 Wolf Trap Farm Park	3,248	3,272	38	-16	3,294

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

VIRGINIA

National Capital System Support Office; District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia \$394,000 to Provide for Increased Utility Costs

Funding is requested to cover increased utility costs for National Capital Parks. The District of Columbia Government provides water and sewer service for NCP-East, NCP-Central, Rock Creek Parkway and portions of George Washington Memorial Parkway. The costs and usage of these services have increased significantly due to inflation, additional facilities and increased visitation. Funding would provide for increased utility costs for all DC parks.

VIRGINIA (NCR)
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Prince William Forest Park	11 acres	\$700

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
George Washington Mem Pkwy	Rehab Arlington House, outbuildings, grds	\$616
Manassas NBP	Stabilize/maintain historic structures	\$1,493

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
George Washington Mem Pkwy	Rehab Memorial Drive, circle, and ramps	\$2,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$725

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$3,290

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: **Prince William Forest Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2003): Priority No. 21

Location: Near Dumfries, Virginia

State/County/Congressional District: Commonwealth of Virginia/Prince William County/Congressional Districts Nos. 10 and 11

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: None. The over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.235 million.

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2003 Request	11	\$700
Future Funding Need	1,146	\$20,300

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Minimal

Description: The Act of June 22, 1948, (Public Law 80-736), designated Prince William Forest Park, and authorized land acquisition by donation or purchase. The park, consisting of reclaimed and reforested land, was conceived primarily as a wooded recreational retreat for the residents of metropolitan Washington, D.C.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park has grown increasingly popular for recreational use by residents of Virginia and Maryland as well. The park provides the visitor with a variety of recreational and educational opportunities within the protected watershed of Quantico Creek which traverses the park. The park features numerous campgrounds, recreational and instructional swimming programs in the park's two lakes, and an extensive network of hiking trails which enable the visitor to experience the diversity of topography, plants and wildlife found within the watershed. Additionally, Quantico Creek's noteworthy water quality continues to serve as a baseline for numerous research studies conducted by State and local environmental agencies as well as several universities in the region.

Threat: Development of privately owned lands within the park would endanger the watershed and limit recreational opportunities.

Need: Funds in the amount of \$700,000 are needed in fiscal year 2003 to acquire 11 tracts located adjacent to the southern Right-of-way of Virginia Route 234. Properties with frontage on Va. Route 234 are zoned commercial and would impose an incompatible land use within the park boundary if developed in accordance with current zoning. Acquisition of these tracts will provide added scenic protection along the park's northern boundary. *Acquisition of these lands will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources and to Goal 1a Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: Each of the property owners is a willing seller. While several non-profit organizations have endorsed efforts by the Service to protect these properties, no other individual, group, or elected official has expressed opposition.

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	850
Planned Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitation Of Arlington House, The Outbuildings And Grounds(Completion)		
Project No: 16018	Unit/Facility Name: George Washington Memorial Parkway	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 08	State: Virginia

Project Justification

Project Description:The amount requested is needed to bring the project to a satisfactory completion and would be used to continue archeological investigation and monitoring; stabilize foundation drainage; repoint foundation wall; reconstruct basement entries; stabilize pedestrian/vehicular access, grade the substrate to process drainage and ADA accessibility; install a stabilized surface surrounding the house to match historic appearance but will not contribute to the deterioration of other historic fabric. Rehabilitate historic outbuildings (slave quarters); stabilize foundations, masonry construction; shore wood framing; remove inappropriate stucco treatments; repair to match historic fabric replace existing roof systems to match historic appearance; abate hazardous materials; repair and stabilize interior finishes. Install and upgrade electrical, communication and heating system in House and outbuildings. Install fire suppression system for House, museum/textile storage building, historic outbuildings and curatorial/administrative annex. Install dry pipe, low pressure, and low volume misting/fogging fire suppression system.

Project Need/Benefit: Drainage problems have long contributed to the deterioration of the House and outbuildings. In an effort to recreate the historic appearance brick walkways and driveways were removed and replaced with a pea gravel surface that has contributed to the deterioration of interior floors and floor coverings when the loose material is carried inside on visitors shoes and finer dust is blown in the house covering all surfaces including original historic objects. Peeling exterior and interior finishes are evidence of the continued damage attributed to the current ground stratum, which does not promote drainage. Stucco failure attributed to the age of the buildings and weathering exposes more of the internal surfaces and allows weather conditions to contribute to deterioration. Rooflines are sagging due to possible rotted rafters and chimney flashing is allowing water inside. There is no fire suppression system in place creating visitor and employee safety concerns as well as concerns for the safety of the artifacts. Rehabilitation of the buildings, grading and replacement of ground cover and installation of fire suppression system will protect important historical resources, allow accessibility and visitor enjoyment. Leaving buildings and area in status quo will promote further deterioration, exclude populations and continue the appearance of inadequate maintenance.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

30 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
40 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 850

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:
Deferred Maintenance Work	\$ 1,525,000	70	Appropriated to Date: \$ 1,562,000
:Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 653,000	30	Requested in FY 2003 Budget: \$ 616,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 2,178,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$ 0
Class of Estimate:	C		Project Total: \$ 2,178,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/02		
Dates:	Sch'd		
(qtr/yy)			
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2003		Project Data Sheet
Project Complete:	4 / 2003		Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/2002
			Unchanged Since
			Departmental
			Approval:
			YES: X NO:

Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**National Park Service
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

Project Score/Ranking:	680
Funding FY:	2003
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: Stabilize and Maintain Significant Historic Structures *		
Project No: 22762	Unit/Facility Name: Manassas National Battlefield Park	
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 10	State: Virginia

Project Justification

Project Description: This project entails essential preservation work on three significant historic structures in Manassas National Battlefield Park. The Sudley Post Office, dating to the 1860's, comprises two pre-Civil War period buildings that sheltered Union wounded from the First Battle of Manassas. The Henry House, built in 1870 by the same family that occupied the original wartime site, was a landmark for Civil War veterans revisiting the battlefield, the battlefield's first established museum, and today as an important site marker to understanding the battle. The Stone House, one of only two original Civil War period buildings within the park, is the only historic building open for interpretive programs. All three structures are on the List of Classified Structures and are listed on the National Register of Historic Places as contributing to the national significance of the park. This proposal will accomplish critical stabilization and preservation work at all three structures, including emergency stabilization of the Sudley Post Office and the Henry House, and the installation of an HVAC system in the Stone House. These actions will allow the park to preserve and maintain these historic structures as key landmarks that contribute to visitor understanding of the battles of Manassas and their aftermath.

Project Need/Benefit: The Sudley Post Office and Henry House suffer from advanced deterioration due to prolonged neglect. Their stabilization will include lead paint abatement. Visitor contact with the flaking, high-content lead paint on the exterior surfaces poses a public health threat, especially at the Sudley Post Office. Structural problems include deterioration and partial loss of the stone foundation, deterioration of wood framing members and siding due to weather and pest infestation, damage to doors and windows, lack of adequate ventilation, and the absence of gutters and downspouts. The Stone House shows signs of stress due to exposure to extremes of temperature and humidity. Currently the building has no heating or air conditioning system. Interior whitewash coatings have failed to perform properly and plaster walls have developed cracks despite recent repairs. Installing an HVAC system will permit the park to maintain temperatures above freezing and avoid damaging climate extremes. Optimally, the new system will allow the park to provide for visitor access to the interior throughout the year. Without the system, the building will need frequent repairs to the interior walls, while interpretive access will remain limited to the summer.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

20% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
60% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement
10% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Required: YES: NO: x	Total Project Score: 680
---------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Project Costs and Status

<u>Project Cost Estimate:</u>			\$'s	%	<u>Project Funding History:</u>			
Deferred Maintenance Work :			\$ 1343700	90	Appropriated to Date:			\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:			\$ 149300	10	Requested in FY 2003 Budget:			\$ 1,493,000
Total Project Estimate:			\$ 1493000	100	Required to Complete Project:			\$ 0
Class of Estimate: C				Project Total: \$ 1,493,000				
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/02								
Dates: Sch'd				Project Data Sheet			Unchanged Since	
(qtr/yy)								
Construction Start/Award 1 / 2003				Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02			Departmental	
Project Complete: 4 / 2003								
							Approval:	
							YES: NO: x	

* This project was included in the NPS FY 2002 request.

WEST VIRGINIA (NCR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2003 Program Changes	FY 2003 Estimate
00 Appalachian NST	893	1,042	5	-2	1,045
01,02 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	7,207	7,611	89	636	8,336
02 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,626	5,749	79	-33	5,795

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

WEST VIRGINIA (NCR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2003 Program Changes	FY 2003 Estimate
00 Appalachian NST	893	1,042	5	-2	1,045
01,02 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	7,207	7,611	89	636	8,336
02 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,626	5,749	79	-33	5,795

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

WEST VIRGINIA

Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park; District of Columbia, Maryland and West Virginia

\$674,000 to Protect Park Resources and Visitors

Funding is requested to expand the park's visitor and resource protection program. Urbanization of surrounding areas has created an urgent need for additional law enforcement capability to combat increasing threats to the park's 1,275 historic structures, 220 archeological sites, and unique natural and cultural resources. Incidents detected annually include encroachments, disturbances to archeological sites, vandalism, poaching, and dumping. Funding would be used to increase patrol frequency of park areas, including river patrols. Increased patrols would improve visitor safety and resource protection.

WEST VIRGINIA (NCR)
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS
State apportionment: \$592

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
Proposed state apportionment: \$1,574
(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)